

AT CHANDIMANDIR

OA 1519 of 2016

Monday, the 20th day of Aug, 2018

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.S. CHAUHAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN MUNISH SIBAL, MEMBER (A)

Gian Devi **Applicant**

(By Mr Bhim Sen Sehgal, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Mrs Balwinder Kaur Sr PC)

ORDER

Learned counsel for the applicant states that in similarly circumstances in OA No. 828 of 2017, this Tribunal vide order dated 25.07.2017 has directed the respondents to consider applicant's claim and similarly vide order dated 30.03.2017, Hon'ble High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam in WP (C) No. 25368 of 2016 has given a similar direction to the respondents.

In the circumstances, as agreed, the OA is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 1 to treat the OA as a representation of the applicant and dispose it of in accordance with law by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible but not later than four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

It is needless to state that if the applicant feels dissatisfied with the order so passed, it shall be open to him to approach this Tribunal again.

No costs.

(Munish Sibal)
Member (A)

‘sp’

(M.S. Chauhan)
Member (J)