

**COURT No.1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI**

201.

RA 57/2016 WITH MA 889/2016
IN OA 365/2010

Nb Ris Vijay Kumar Singh Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. K. Ramesh, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Y.P. Singh , Advocate

WITH

202.

RA 60/2016 WITH MA 903/2016
IN OA 384/2012

Nb Ris Lakhani Singh Bhadoria Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. K. Ramesh, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Y.P. Singh , Advocate

WITH

203.

RA 67/2016 WITH MA 1145/2016
IN OA 415/2011
(RB Chandigarh)

Ex Hav Krishan Kumar Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : None
For Respondents : Mr. Harish V. Shankar , Advocate

WITH

204.

RA 44/2017 WITH MA 988/2017
IN OA 02/2013

Capt Sudip Biswas (Retd) Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. S.S. Pandey, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Ranvir Singh Chhillar, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Gautam , Advocate

WITH

205.

RA 62/2017 WITH MA 1261/2017
IN OA 1619/2016

Union of India and Ors. Applicant
VERSUS
Ex JWO C.R. Krishnan Respondents

For Applicant : Dr. V.S. Mahandiyan, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. Ajit Kakkar , Advocate

WITH

206.

RA 27/2018 WITH MA 620/2018
IN OA 707/2016

Maj Ajit Singh Rathi Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Sukhjinder Singh, Advocate
For Respondents : Gp Capt K.S. Bhati, Sr. CGSC

WITH

208.

RA 55/2018 WITH MA 1750/2018
IN OA 993/2018

Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani Applicant
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Ms. Archana Ramesh, Advocate
For Respondents : Dr. V.S. Mahandiyan, Advocate

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRENDER SINGH, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE LT GEN SANJIV CHACHRA, MEMBER (A)**

**ORDER
07.03.2019**

When we took up all these Review Applications on Board today for our consideration for deciding the main issue, whether the Tribunal has power to condone the delay in filing the Review Application, Principal Registrar of this Tribunal has placed before us a judgment of AFT, RB, Chennai rendered in OA 64/2016 on the same issue wherein we find split view in so far as Hon'ble Member (J) has held that the Tribunal is empowered to condone the delay in filing the Review Application whereas the Hon'ble Member (A), while disagreeing with that view, has observed that there is no statutory power with the Tribunal to condone the delay in filing the Review Application. It is in this background the judgment of RB, Chennai has to be put up before the Chairperson on administrative side to take a decision for sending the said case to a third Judge in terms of Section 28 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.

Learned counsel for both the sides in all fairness state that copy of the judgment rendered by RB, Chennai be provided to them enabling them to assist the Tribunal on the next date of

hearing in this regard. Registry is directed to provide a copy of the judgment in one set to learned counsel for both the sides.

Adjourned and list again on 28th March, 2019.

(VIRENDER SINGH)
CHAIRPERSON

(SANJIV CHACHRA)
MEMBER (A)

/vks/