COURT NO. 2, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI (Through Virtual Hearing)

14.

MA 704/2021 in OA 1368/2021

Ex NK/DSC Mahadeo Bhiku Ghatge ... Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

For Applicant: Shri U S Maurya, Advocate

For Respondents: Shri Neeraj, Sr. CGSC

Maj Sonali Tiwari, OIC, Legal Cell

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA, MEMBER (J) HON'BLE VICE ADMIRAL P. MURUGESAN, MEMBER (A)

> ORDER 03.02.2022

It is not disputed by counsel for the applicant that PPO has been issued to the applicant. However, he submits that in the PPO, there is a mention that a sum of ₹7,00,117/- is required to be recovered from the applicant and it is stated that the bank is recovering the amount in installments from the pension of the applicant. In case the applicant is aggrieved by any recovery by the bank that may furnish a separate cause of action to the applicant, but so far as this OA is concerned, after the issuance of PPO, the same stands satisfied. Accordingly, MA stands disposed off.

[JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA]
MEMBER (J)

[VICE ADMIRAL P. MURUGESAN]
MEMBER (A)

/sm/ap/